Co-designing a Living Evidence Architecture

Workshop 1: Understanding challenges and opportunities of current systems and ideating for a future platform

17 February 2025 Melbourne, Australia

Key learnings

User needs for living

Integration of automation

• Transparency of methods

guidelines / living evidence

Intuitive, person-centric interfaces

· Interoperability with other systems

Reliable systems with an offline option

'One stop shop': everything I need is

in one place and/or easily retrieved

Customisable for different audiences / needs

34

Attendees 23 in-person 11 online

6

Countries

Australia, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, India, Philippines

2

WHO Offices

Western Pacific Region (WPRO) & South-East Asia Region (SEARO)

Key learnings

Challenges of the current living guidelines / living evidence ecosystem

Cost!!!

- · Existing systems and processes:
 - Effort required to change may be substantial
 - Stakeholders may not understand pain points of current system
- Lack of consensus around "living": what does it mean, when is it necessary, how do we prioritise?
- Lack of expertise and discoverability: how do we know what's out there? Who else is working on what?







Key learnings

Opportunities for developing a global living evidence platform

- Engagement with a larger range of stakeholders to ensure varied needs can be met
- Collaboration to reduce duplication in effort
- · Facilitation of translation into multiple contexts
- Specific priorities to facilitate strategic allocation of living evidence resources (e.g. prioritise specific recommendations versus entire chapter or guideline)
- Clearer communication of state of evidence (review, recommendations, updates, etc.)

	v	- < -			
Co-designing a Living Evidence Architecture for our region	Survey	W1	W2	W3	W4





1 Renewed use of traditional guidelines Presents an opportunity to introduce dynamic updates and improve responsiveness to emerging evidence.	2 Continuous public consultation and engagement Offers opportunities to enhance transparency, inclusivity, and real-world relevance of evidence- based guidelines.	3 Strengthening regional, global and cross-border collaboration Offers opportunities for shared learning, reduced duplication, and more coordinated evidence responses.	4 Enhancing real-time decision making (Real-time updates) Creates opportunities for faster, more informed responses in dynamic health settings.	5 Bridging the gap between research and policy Offers an opportunity to ensure evidence is translated into actionable strategies for health systems.
6 Empowering local and community-level decision makers Essential to ensure that living evidence is applied effectively within diverse, on-the-ground contexts and supports decentralized health.	7 Embedding equity and representation in evidence development Creates opportunities to ensure guidelines reflect diverse populations and address health disparities.	8 Stakeholder inclusion and Co-design Offer opportunities to make guidelines more relevant, accepted, and responsive to user needs.	9 Cost-effective prioritization Provides an opportunity to focus resources on high-impact areas and improve the efficiency of guideline development and implementation.	10 Evolving conceptual framing of evidence Offers opportunities to rethink how guidelines are structured, updated, and applied in practice.

FINDINGS

Opportunities of Living Evidence

Key themes

Co-designing a Living Evidence Architecture for our region	Survey	W1	W2	W3	W4	





1 Lack of context- specific, user- centred design and accessibility Limits the usability and relevance of living guidelines across diverse health system settings.	2 Lack of platform integration and interoperability Creates inefficiencies, duplication of effort, and barriers to seamless evidence use.	3 Lack of discoverability and transparency gaps Hinders users' ability to locate, trust, and apply the most current and relevant living guidelines.	4 Localisation, language, and contextual fit Limit the applicability and uptake of living guidelines in diverse regions and communities.	5 Information overload, alert fatigue, and resource constraints Limit the ability of users to engage with living evidence effectively and challenge the sustainability of continuous update systems.
6 Duplication of effort and lack of coordination Lead to inefficient use of resources and confusion among users about which guidelines to follow.	7 Lack of infrastructure and investment Hampers the development, maintenance, and scalability of dynamic guideline systems.	8 Limited engagement of end-users and decision makers Reduces the relevance, usability, and uptake of living guidelines in practice.	9 Unclear governance and ownership models Create ambiguity around responsibility, accountability, and long- term sustainability of living guideline systems.	10 Challenge of continuous updates and consultation fatigue Can overwhelm stakeholders, leading to reduced participation and slower guideline development.

FINDINGS

Challenges of Living Evidence

Key themes

	_					
Co-designing a Living Evidence Architecture for our region	Survey	W1	W2	W3	W4	





1	2	3	4	5
Integration with existing clinical systems Needs to be prioritized to enable seamless, real- time access to updated evidence within clinical workflows.	Real-time alerts, smart updating and evidence linkage Need to be developed to ensure users are notified of relevant changes and interconnected recommendations are consistently updated.	User-centred platform design Needs to be adopted to ensure living evidence tools are intuitive, accessible, and tailored to the needs of diverse users.	Interoperability and duplication across tools Needs to be strengthened to reduce duplication of effort and enable smooth data exchange across tools and platforms.	Quality verification and transparent sourcing Need to built into platforms to strengthen public trust in the reliability and credibility of living evidence.
6	7	8	9	10
Searchability and discoverability of content Need to be enhanced to ensure users can easily find relevant, up- to-date evidence and recommendations.	Collaborative & feedback-oriented platforms Need to be developed to support shared learning, stakeholder input, and continuous improvement of living evidence.	Multi-format communication, visual tools, and learning formats Need to be incorporated to improve accessibility, engagement, and understanding of living evidence.	Decision support tools for personalised use Need to be designed to provide personalised, context-specific recommendations that support real-time clinical decision-making.	Integration of AI to support guideline development Needs to be leveraged to automate evidence synthesis, streamline updates, and enhance the efficiency of guideline development.
FINDINGS				

FINDINGS

Digital Transformation for Living Evidence



	v	— 🗸 —	1			
Co-designing a Living Evidence Architecture for our region	Survey	W1	W2	W3	W4	



